

Programming by Demonstration: Some recent challenges

Aude G. Billard LASA Laboratory EPFL



Generalizing: Learning a control law



Learning a control law that ensures that you reach the target even if perturbed and that you follow a particular dynamics



Time-invariant DS &= f(x) with stable attractor $\&= f(x^*) = 0$.



 X_1



Make *N* observations of the state of the system $\{\mathscr{X}, x^i\}, i = 1...N$.





Make *N* observations of the state of the system $\{x^i, x^i\}, i = 1...N$.





Make *N* observations of the state of the system $\{\mathbf{x}^{i}, x^{i}\}, i = 1...N$.

Learn $p(x, x) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} w_k N(x, x; \mu^k, \Sigma^k)$: joint density (mixture of Gaussians)

describing the distribution of velocity in state space. $p(x) \sim N(x; \mu, \Sigma)$





 X_2

Learn a control law from examples

Make *N* observations of the state of the system $\{x^{i}, x^{i}\}, i = 1...N$.

Learn $p(x, x) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} w_k N(x, x; \mu^k, \Sigma^k)$: joint density (mixture of Gaussians) Compute $f(x) = E\left\{p(x, x)\right\} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} h^k(x)(A^kx + b^k)$ (analytical expression for f)





and Billard, SEDS, IE

Learn a control law from examples

Make *N* observations of the state of the system $\{\mathbf{x}^{i}, x^{i}\}, i = 1...N$.

Learn $p(x, x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} w_k N(x, x; \mu^k, \Sigma^k)$: joint density (mixture of Gaussians) Compute $f(x) = E\left\{p(x \mid x)\right\} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} h^{k}(x)(A^{k}x + b^{k})$ (analytical expression for f) Stability Constraints in terms of Gaussian Parameters x_2 $\begin{cases} a) \ \mu_{\&}^{k} + \sum_{\&}^{k} \left(\sum_{xx}^{k}\right)^{-1} \mu_{x}^{k} = 0 \\ b) \ \sum_{\&}^{k} \left(\sum_{xx}^{k}\right)^{-1} + \left(\sum_{\&}^{k} \left(\sum_{xx}^{k}\right)^{-1}\right)^{T} p \ 0 \end{cases} \quad \forall k = 1, \dots K$ -0.375 -0.25-0.125 Determine the parameters of the density as a constrained optimization problem (maximize likelihood under stability constraints).



Other examples of complex dynamics that can be estimated through SEDS.





Other examples of complex dynamics that can be estimated through SEDS.





Learning motion with non-zero velocity at target



Extend the SEDS model with modulation in speed at target



Learn separately stable control laws to control for arm and fingers.

Couple the two systems to allow adequate adaptation to perturbations.





Learn separately stable control laws to control for arm and fingers.

Couple the two systems to allow adequate adaptation to perturbations.





Catching Objects in Flight





What to Imitate?



Learning a skill is more than simply replaying a trajectory. It requires to understand what a skill is.

To learn this, one needs to show several demonstrations to *generalize* across sets of examples.



How to Imitate?



→ Find the closest solution according to some cost function



Statistical model of the data

Key Idea: The world is uncertain; learn about its uncertainty through probabilistic modeling of information. $p(x, x) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} w_i N(x, x; \mu_i, \Sigma_i)$





To generate new trajectories that depart from the *reference trajectory* while remaining within the total variance.



The variance

- \rightarrow provides a notion of feasible space of solutions
- \rightarrow is used to compute new path in the face of changes in the context



 \rightarrow provides a notion of feasible space of solutions

 \rightarrow is used to compute new path in the face of changes in the context



 \rightarrow provides a notion of feasible space of solutions

 \rightarrow is used to compute new path in the face of changes in the context





Grasping usually solved by searching for the optimal placement of fingers onto an object.





Grasping usually solved by searching for the optimal placement of fingers onto an object.

Knowing the extent to which one can adapt this grasp is useful for safe manipulation.



Learn how comply with external perturbations while maintaining a firm grasp.



Teaching through tactile sensing





Teaching through tactile sensing





Learn a probabilistic mapping $p(\phi, s, \theta)$ between contact signature of the object (normal force ϕ and tactile response s) and fingers' posture θ .





Learn a probabilistic mapping $p(\phi, s, \theta)$ between contact signature of the object (normal force ϕ and tactile response s) and fingers' posture θ .

Make *N* observations of the state of the system $\xi^i = \{\phi^i, s^i, \theta^i\} \in {}^{\circ}{}^{47}, i = 1...N.$

 $p(\xi) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} w_k N(\xi; \mu^k, \Sigma^k)$: joint density (mixture of Gaussians) describing the observations and the correlation across the variables of the system.

Can be used to predict the appropriate joint posture when perceiving a change in contact signature:

$$\hat{\theta} = E\left\{p\left(\theta \mid s, \phi\right)\right\}, \quad \hat{s} = E\left\{p\left(s \mid \theta, \phi\right)\right\}$$







After Training





Another Example





Another Example







<u>Teaching through teleoperation</u> using Interface for direct joint motion transfer (Xsens motion sensors)

Refining knowledge using tactile interface (5 touchpads mounted on robot's arm and wrist)





Reuse: To avoid re-learning a new task from scratch when the new task bears similarities with the old task





Reuse preserves variability learned in the previous task. This may be a drawback \rightarrow Use tactile feedback to adapt locally this variability





Reuse: One more example





Being stiff is not always good \rightarrow How to teach a robot to relax...





Low stiffness when carrying the liquid

High stiffness when pouring the liquid



Being stiff is not always good \rightarrow How to teach a robot to relax...



Shaking the robot: A natural method to teach a robot to relax.







y-position (m)

PD control law to follow a desired trajectory \mathscr{X}

$$u_t = K(x_t - \mathcal{H}_t) - D(x_t - \mathcal{H}_t), \quad D \sim K(\text{critically damped})$$

Adjust stiffness at each time step: $K_t (x_t - x_t)$

Record perturbation from current position Δx_t . Set stiffness profile inversely proportional to variance of perturbation (the more variation, the less stiff):

Covariance matrix: $\Sigma = \Delta x (\Delta x)^T$

Eigenvalue decomposition: $\Sigma = U \Lambda U^T$

 $\Rightarrow K_t \sim U\Lambda^{-1}U^T$





After training the robot manages to adapt naturally when required and remains stiff when required.





Learning from Bad Demonstrations



- Search around the demonstrations
- Reproduce only parts where all demonstrators agreed
- Avoid regions with high uncertainty



Angular Position (radian) of robot's wrist

Grollman and Billard, ICRA 2012, Best Paper Award Cognitive Robotics



Learning from Bad Demonstrations



- Search around the demonstrations
- Reproduce only parts where all demonstrators agreed
- Avoid regions with high uncertainty



Angular Position (radian) of robot's wrist



Conclusion

Learning from human demonstration is foremost generalizing

- Learning a generic control law
- Learning feasible regions of the state space

Observing human demonstration is not sufficient to perform the task

- Extracting key features from demonstrations
- Use these to adapt the trajectory

Demonstrations do not need to be perfect solutions to the task

- → Learning from bad demonstrations provides crucial information on what is key to perform the task.
- → More useful to know several feasible solutions to the task than a single but optimal one



lasa.epfl.ch